

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/821,868	Applicant(s) HORNER-RICHARDSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Mark H. Paschall	Art Unit 3742	

All Participants:

 (1) Mark H. Paschall.

 (2) Ms. K. Burris.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 7 July 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
All
Claims discussed:
1, 16, 21, 27, 33, 45, 50, 55, 74
Prior art documents discussed:
na
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The inclusion of the term 'relatively small' is seen as new matter and not patentably defining and Applicant agreed to cancel the same from the claims to place the application in condition for allowance.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)